small number of array stations may not be sufficient to secure unbiased mb values of seismic events in various parts of the world, though this problem has not yet been fully examined.

Ten Nation Working Paper Submitted to the Conference of the Committee on Disarmament: Prohibition of the Development, Production, and Stockpiling of Chemical Weapons and Their Destruction, April 26, 1973

Having, on previous occasions, expressed in working papers (CCD/310,2 CCD/352)3 their preliminary views on banning bacteriological (biological) and chemical methods of warfare, the delegations of Argentina, Brazil, Burma, Egypt, Ethiopia, Mexico, Morocco, Nigeria, Sweden, and Yugoslavia, members of the Conference of the Committee on Disarmament now wish, as a concrete contribution to progress in negotiation, to present some further views on the following four important aspects of a Treaty banning chemical weapons: (I) General Provisions; (II) Scope of the Prohibition; (III) Verification and System of Control; (IV) Complaints Procedure.

(I) GENERAL PROVISIONS

Any agreement banning chemical weapons should include:

1. A clear understanding whereby future agreed provisions for the prohibition of the development, production and stockpiling of chemical weapons are not to be interpreted as in any way limiting or detracting from the obligations assumed by the Parties under the Geneva Protocol of 1925.4

2. Provisions to ensure that the agreement should be implemented in a manner designed to avoid hampering the research, development, production, possession, transfer and application of chemical agents for peaceful purposes or hindering the economic or technological development of States Parties.

3. An undertaking not to assist, encourage or induce any State, group of States or international organizations in prohibited

activities.

4. Undertakings to facilitate, and a right to participate in, the fullest possible exchange of chemical agents, equipment material and scientific and technological information for the use of such chemical agents for peaceful purposes.

5. A recognition of the principle that a substantial portion of

the savi should l particul

6. Se should and non

7. D the obj namely Nations the de weapon destruc

8. Tagents high do of delivity cal we popula highly 9. I

should fication reconc hibitio not be obliga with r would weapo accept staine 10.

the product detail. Treat the in 14.

(III) 11.

ing classur be pr meas

¹CCD/400, Apr. 26, 1973. The paper was submitted by Argentina, Brazil, Burma, Egypt, Ethiopia, Mexico, Morocco, Nigeria, Sweden, and Yugoslavia.

*Documents on Disarmament, 1970, pp. 453-455.

³ *Ibid.*, 1971, pp. 566–568. ⁴ *Ibid.*, 1969, pp. 764–765.

not be sufficient to secure in various parts of the been fully examined.

ed to the Conference of Prohibition of the Depiling of Chemical Weap-5, 1973 ¹

ressed in working papers ary views on banning bacmethods of warfare, the a, Egypt, Ethiopia, Mexico, lavia, members of the Conment now wish, as a congotiation, to present some portant aspects of a Treaty ral Provisions; (II) Scopen and System of Control;

eapons should include:

uture agreed provisions for oduction and stockpiling of eted as in any way limiting sumed by the Parties under

igreement should be impleid hampering the research, transfer and application of or hindering the economic Parties.

encourage or induce any organizations in prohibited

a right to participate in, the agents, equipment material mation for the use of such

hat a substantial portion of

submitted by Argentina, Brazil, Nigeria, Sweden, and Yugoslavia. the savings derived from measures in the field of disarmament should be devoted to promoting economic and social development, particularly in the developing countries.

6. Security guarantees which in the interest of many countries should go beyond those envisaged in already existing disarmament and non-armament agreements.

(II) SCOPE OF THE PROHIBITION

7. Discussions in the Committee show a basic agreement on the objective of the negotiations relating to chemical weapons, namely that they should aim, in accordance with relevant United Nations resolutions, at reaching a comprehensive ban, covering the development, production and stockpiling of all chemical weapons, their equipment and means of delivery, as well as the destruction of existing stocks.

8. The degree of danger represented by the use of chemical agents for purposes of war depends besides their toxicity, to a high degree, on the protection available, as well as on the means of delivery. Since adequate protection against any kind of chemical weapons is not available to the greater part of the world population, even less toxic agents can create as great a danger as

highly toxic ones and therefore should be prohibited.

9. It is essential that the prohibition of chemical weapons should be coupled with adequate verification. The question of verification has both technical and political aspects which should be reconciled and therefore it is connected with the scope of the prohibition. Solutions to the problems of scope and verification should not be discriminatory and should maintain an acceptable balance of obligations and responsibilities for all States. A partial solution with respect to the scope of the activities to be prohibited, which would only ban the development and production of chemical weapons, will be particularly discriminatory and will not be acceptable to many countries, specially to those which have abstained from procuring such weapons.

10. In the Treaty's text, a comprehensive ban could deal with the problem of scope by a general purpose criterion while more detailed provisions could be elaborated in the annexes to the Treaty. These agreed provisions may be revised and updated by the international control organ referred to in part III, paragraph 14.

(III) VERIFICATION AND SYSTEM OF CONTROL

11. The purpose of the verification system in a treaty prohibiting chemical weapons should be to give every Party a reasonable assurance of compliance of the prohibition. Such assurance could be provided through a combination of national and international measures which would complement and supplement each other,

thereby providing an acceptable system which would ensure effective implementation of the prohibition. At least the following basic elements should be included: (A) the self-control of states, (B) national means of verification, and (C) international measures of verification.

(A)

12. The self-control of States Parties to the treaty might encompass (a) declarations, at the time of entering into force of the prohibition, as regards national activities related to production and development of chemical weapons and agents, particularly concerning the destruction of existing stockpiles; (b) measures aimed at implementing the prohibition, including the enactment of laws and regulations; (c) the organization of a national system of control and control body with authorization to co-operate with the international control organ and (d) informing the international control organ of these measures of self-control.

(B)

13. Every state could use its own means to verify the observance of the prohibition, in accordance with international law and United Nations charter. The States Parties might undertake to consult one another and to co-operate in solving any problems which might arise in relation to the objective of, or in application of provisions of the Treaty. Consultation and co-operation might also be undertaken through appropriate international procedures within the framework of the United Nations and in accordance with its Charter.

(C)

14. International measures of verification should be performed by a qualified and independent international control organ to be designated by the States Parties, and the results should be made available to all parties on an automatic and fact-finding basis.

They might comprise collection, analysis and circulation of relevant data and assistance to States Parties in the development of self-control as well as national means of verification. On the other hand, the international control organ should receive full assistance of States Parties in the development of international verification measures, including relevant technology at the disposal of States Parties. The verification system should encompass all activities related to development, production and stockpiling of chemical warfare agents. As a non-recurrent measure, international inspection could also be specially provided for in order to verify destruction of stocks, in a manner to be agreed upon between the International Control Organ and the State Party concerned.

provisi proved achieve implemindustr

(IV) (16. with the state of th

provisi 17. evidence by the graph

Statem Con 197

The

As man in an a has bee a Conf taking reducir finally, in prog Ending nature ence or zation restora Laos is china.4

The progres able tr flected session have f

¹ CCI ² Dep

Bid.

em which would ensure on. At least the following the self-control of states, and (C) international

s to the treaty might enentering into force of the ies related to production and agents, particularly stockpiles; (b) measures , including the enactment ation of a national system ization to co-operate with (d) informing the interes of self-control.

eans to verify the observwith international law and arties might undertake to in solving any problems ective of, or in application on and co-operation might e international procedures Nations and in accordance

cation should be performed ational control organ to be the results should be made and fact-finding basis.

nalysis and circulation of Parties in the development ans of verification. On the organ should receive full velopment of international ant technology at the dison system should encompass production and stockpiling n-recurrent measure, interally provided for in order to nner to be agreed upon bein and the State Party con-

15. The international verification system should, within the provisions of the Treaty, be reviewed and as appropriate improved, taking into account new scientific and technological achievements. The verification system should be conceived and implemented in such a way as to avoid the disclosure of scientific, industrial and commercial secrets.

(IV) COMPLAINTS PROCEDURE

16. Any State Party might, as a last resort, lodge a complaint with the Security Council concerning an alleged breach of the

provisions of the Treaty by another State Party.

17. The complaining State Party should submit all possible evidence, including a report or reports, which might be prepared by the international control organ mentioned in part III paragraph 14 above, to the Security Council.

Statement by the Soviet Representative (Roshchin) to the Conference of the Committee on Disarmament, April 26, 1973 1

The Committee on Disarmament ends its spring session today. As many delegations have pointed out, the session has taken place in an atmosphere of international detente. While the Committee has been meeting at Geneva, multilateral talks in preparation for a Conference on European Security and Co-operation have been taking place at Helsinki. Unofficial consultations on the problem of reducing armed forces in Europe are proceeding at Vienna, and finally, a second round of the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks is in progress here at Geneva. The conclusion of the Agreement on Ending the War and Restoring Peace in Viet-Nam² and the signature, last March, of the Final Act of the International Conference on Viet-Nam³ were important developments in the normalization of the world situation. The signing of the agreement on the restoration of peace and the achievement of national accord in Laos is another factor in the settlement of the situation in Indochina.4

The whole course of recent events creates a favourable basis for progress in the solution of disarmament problems. But the favourable trend of world events has unfortunately not been duly reflected in the work of the Committee on Disarmament. During the session of the Committee which concludes today, the participants have failed to make any progress towards the attainment of

CCD/PV.603, pp. 17-21.
Department of State Bulletin, Feb. 12, 1973, pp. 169 ff.
Bid., Mar. 26, 1973, pp. 345-347. Keesing's Contemporary Archives, Apr. 16-22, 1972, pp. 25843-25844.